REMARKS

Prior to entry of this amendment Claims 1-9 are pending in the application. In the Office Action, the claim rejections are as follows: Claim 1, 3, 4, and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,466,292 (*Kim*) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,956,656 (*Yamazaki*) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,147,670 (*Rossmann*); Claims 2 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over *Kim* in view of *Yamazaki* and *Rossmann*, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,141,540 (*Richards*); Claim 7 was were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kim* in view of *Richards* and *Rossmann*, and further in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0,065,110 A1 (*Enns*); and Claims 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kim* in view of *Richards* and further in view of *Enns*.

Please cancel Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 without prejudice.

Please add new Claims 10-18. No new matter has been added. Now Claims 10-13 depend from allowed Claim 8.

Applicant acknowledges receipt of the Interview Summary dated December 22, 2005. Applicant respectfully submits that there are several inaccuracies contained therein. First, although previous interviews were conducted on September 9, 14 and 28, 2005, the Interview Summary dated September 22, 2005 resulted from a telephone conference conducted on December 19, 2005. Second, although the Interview Summary states that no agreement was reached, a partial agreement was reached regarding Claim 8, namely that Claim 8 is in condition for allowance. This was confirmed by Nay Maung, SPE, during a telephone conference held on February 9, 2006 between Mr. Maung and Applicant's representative, Michael J. Musella. Therefore, Claim 8 and 10-13 are in condition for allowance.

Independent Claim 14 is also believed to be in condition for allowance. Claim 14 contains many of the recitations of allowed Claim 8. Without conceding the patentability per se

of dependent Claims 15-18, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on Claim 14.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 8 and 10-18, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul J. Varrell Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant

DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, New York 11553

Tel:

(516) 228-8484

Fax:

(516) 228-8516

PJF/MJM/dr